UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,893	07/23/2001	Jose Walter		3549
Hendricks and A	7590 03/25/200 Associates	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2509 Fairfax, VA 22031-2509			PATEL, YOGESH P	
Fairiax, VA 22031-2309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/909,893	WALTER, JOSE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	YOGESH PATEL	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stares are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	tb) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal mat	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7,8,15,18 and 22 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-8, 15, 18, 22 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.	
· · · <u>_</u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/909,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

All of the claims are objected to because under 37 CFR 1.121(c) Claims. Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled. Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of an existing claim or addition of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims ever presented, including the text of all pending and withdrawn claims, in the application. The claim listing, including the text of the claims, in the amendment document will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims, in the application. In the claim listing, the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following identifiers in a parenthetical expression: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New), and (Not entered). (1) Claim listing. All of the claims presented in a claim listing shall be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive claims having the same status of "canceled" or "not entered" may be aggregated into one statement (e.g., Claims 1-5 (canceled)). The claim listing shall commence on a separate sheet of the amendment document and the sheet(s) that contain the text of any part of the claims shall not contain any other part of the amendment.

(2) When claim text with markings is required. All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of "currently amended," and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. Only claims having

the status of "currently amended," or "withdrawn" if also being amended, shall include markings. If a withdrawn claim is currently amended, its status in the claim listing may be identified as "withdrawn- currently amended."

(3) When claim text in clean version is required. The text of all pending claims not being currently amended shall be presented in the claim listing in clean version, i.e., without any markings in the presentation of text. The presentation of a clean version of any claim having the status of "original," "withdrawn" or "previously presented" will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version, except to omit markings that may have been present in the immediate prior version of the claims of the status of "withdrawn" or "previously presented." Any claim added by amendment must be indicated with the status of "new" and presented in clean version, i.e., without any underlining.

Accordingly, appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No adequate support is found for the limitation "each said tray being identical."

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22, it is not clear what is meant by "identical". Further, it is not clear what the terminology "identical" encompasses. According to drawings, the trays do not appear to be "identical."

Additionally, in claim 22, line 5, it is unclear if applicant is attempting to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph with the "hinge means" terminology since it lacks the conventional functional language. Since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mogensen (6,149,428).

Mogensen discloses an articulator tray having at least one opening with repeating broadened and narrowed regions 3 in the opening. The broadened regions are capable of acting as reciprocating acceptor spaces. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements (e.g. for pins...), they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Mogensen which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claims 7, 8, and 22 are rejected as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cho (5,622,497).

Cho discloses an articulator Consisting of a first tray 16 and a second tray 18, the trays being identical (e.g. in shape) and having at least one opening 44 with regularly spaced wider and narrower region (narrower towards inner wall and wider towards away from inner wall), wherein the wider regions of the openings are receptor openings that can or capable of accepting reciprocating pins and wherein each tray has attached thereto hinge portions which are capable of interaction with hinge portions from a

second tray to form a completed hinge means. The hinge means allow both horizontal and lateral movement (column 2 line 50) and is a ball joint (column 7 line 29, fig. 6).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogensen (6,149,428) in view Of Cho (5,622,497).

Mogensen discloses a method of making a dental model comprising at least one opening with regularly spaced wider and narrower regions; inserting at least two pins which fit reciprocally (Dictionary defines the term reciprocally as corresponding or matching) into the tray, loading stone onto the tray into which pins (or taps) have been inserted (column 3 line 13); however, Mogensen does not explicitly show forcing the stone against an impression. It is known in the art that the cast of dental model is made by the use of an impression; however, Cho is applied to show dental model made by forcing the stone loaded onto the tray against an impression (figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the step of Cho in order to create the dental model of a patient's mouth.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/31/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the Cho reference, applicant argues that no pins present, however, it is noted that the pins are not positively required by the apparatus claims. See above explanation for intended use/functional language paragraph.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,893 Page 8

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph A. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732